

# Necessary Conditions for $L_p$ Convergence of Lagrange Interpolation on an Arbitrary System of Nodes\*

YING GUANG SHI

*Computing Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2719, Beijing, China 100080*

*Communicated by Doron S. Lubinsky*

Received June 10, 1994; accepted in revised form February 22, 1995

This paper gives powerful necessary conditions for convergence of Lagrange interpolation on an arbitrary system of nodes in  $L_p(dx)$  with  $dx$  belonging to the Szegő's class. This provides a partial answer to Problem XI of P. Turán [J. Approx. Theory 29 (1980), 33–34]. It is shown that in this case the asymptotics of distribution of the nodes must behave like the power asymptotics. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

This paper deals with mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation on an arbitrary system of nodes.

Denote by  $X$  a triangular matrix of nodes

$$1 \geq x_{1n} > x_{2n} > \cdots > x_{nn} \geq -1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

The Lagrange interpolating polynomial of  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  on  $X$  is defined by

$$L_n(X, f) := L_n(X, f, x) := \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{kn}) l_{kn}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$l_{kn}(x) := \frac{\omega_n(x)}{(x - x_{kn}) \omega'_n(x_{kn})}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.3)$$

with  $\omega_n(x) := \omega_n(X, x) := (x - x_{1n})(x - x_{2n}) \cdots (x - x_{nn})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For simplicity sometimes we also write  $x_k$  instead of  $x_{kn}$ , etc.

Dealing with mean convergence of  $L_n(X, f)$  Turán proposed [10, pp. 33–34]

\* This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

*Problem XI.* Given  $p > 1$ , what is a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 |f(x) - L_n(X, f, x)|^p dx = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

for every  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ ?

Let  $d\alpha(x)$  be a finite Borel positive measure on the interval  $I := [-1, 1]$ , whose support is an infinite set. Let

$$P_n(d\alpha, x) = \gamma_n x^n + \dots$$

( $\gamma_n := \gamma_n(d\alpha) > 0$ ), be the orthonormal polynomials with respect to  $d\alpha$ . It is natural to propose a more general

*Problem.* Let  $d\alpha$  be a measure supported in  $[-1, 1]$ . Given  $p > 0$ , what is a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-1}^1 |f(x) - L_n(X, f, x)|^p d\alpha(x) = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

for every  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ ?

This is a difficult problem, only some necessary conditions for weighted mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation on an arbitrary system of nodes are obtained (see [6–8; 10, pp. 33–34] and the references therein). In particular, in [8] it is shown that, if (1.5) holds for all  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  for a regular measure  $d\alpha$ , which means  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(d\alpha)^{1/n} = 2$ , then the asymptotic behaviour of  $\omega_n(X, x)$  behaves like the regular ( $n$ th root) asymptotic behaviour of  $\omega_n(d\alpha, x) = P_n(d\alpha, x)/\gamma_n(d\alpha)$ . In this paper we intend to give powerful necessary conditions guaranteeing (1.5) for all  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  for  $d\alpha \in S$  (the Szegő’s class) [5, p. 246], which means

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{\ln \alpha'(x) dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} > -\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

To state our results we need some definitions and notations. Write for  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  and  $0 < p < \infty$

$$\|f\|_{d\alpha, p} := \left\{ \int_I |f(x)|^p d\alpha(x) \right\}^{1/p},$$

$$\|f\| := \max_{x \in I} |f(x)|,$$

$$\|L_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} := \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} \|L_n(X, f)\|_{d\alpha, p},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n(X, x) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n |(x - x_{kn}) l_{kn}(x)|, \\
\gamma_n(X) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{|\omega'_n(x_{kn})|}, \\
\phi(z) &:= z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{C}$  is the complex plane and the branch of the square root is taken so that  $\sqrt{z^2 - 1}$  behaves like  $z$  near infinity.

The main result of this paper is the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $d\alpha$  be a measure supported in  $[-1, 1]$  and  $d\alpha \in S$ . Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . If (1.5) holds for every  $f \in C[-1, 1]$ , then*

$$(a) \quad \frac{\gamma_n(X)}{2^n} \leq \text{const}; \quad (1.7)$$

$$(b) \quad \left| \frac{S_n(X, z)}{\phi(z)^n} \right| \leq \text{const}, \quad z \in \Omega \quad (1.8)$$

holds for every compact set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus I$ ;

$$(c) \quad 0 < c_1 \leq \left| \frac{2^n \omega_n(X, z)}{\phi(z)^n} \right| \leq c_2, \quad z \in \Omega \quad (1.9)$$

holds for every compact set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus I$ , where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants independent of  $n$ ;

$$(d) \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{kn}) - \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x) dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right| \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \quad (1.10)$$

whenever  $f$  is analytic in an open set  $\mathcal{A} \supset I$ , here

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{A}} |f(z)|.$$

*Remark 1.* We point out that (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (a) & (c) (Lemma 6) and (c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d) (Lemma 4).

*Remark 2.* As it is well known, for a measure  $d\alpha \in S$  the corresponding orthogonal polynomials  $\omega_n(d\alpha, x) = P_n(d\alpha, x)/\gamma_n(d\alpha)$  must satisfy the conditions (a)–(d) [5, Theorem 3.5, p. 246; 1, 2]. In particular, Statement (d) is a representation of the asymptotic distribution of nodes [5, p. 249]. Thus our theorem shows that under the assumptions of the theorem the asymptotic behaviour of  $\omega_n(X, x)$  behaves like the asymptotic behaviour of  $\omega_n(d\alpha, x)$ .

In the next section some auxiliary lemmas are given. In the last section we proceed the proof of the theorem.

## 2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

Let  $d\mu(\theta)$  be a finite Borel positive measure on the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$ , whose support is an infinite set. Let [4, p. 204]

$$G(d\mu) := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln \mu'(\theta) d\theta \right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

If  $d\mu \in S$ , that is

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \ln \mu'(\theta) d\theta > -\infty, \tag{2.2}$$

then the Szegő's function is defined by

$$D(z) := D(d\mu, z) := \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{-i\theta}}{1 - ze^{-i\theta}} \ln \mu'(\theta) d\theta \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

which is analytic in  $|z| < 1$  [5, p. 242]. For an arbitrary continuous function  $F(\theta)$  of period  $2\pi$  we have [9, p. 269]

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-0} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta) |D(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F(\theta) \mu'(\theta) d\theta. \tag{2.4}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} d_n &:= d_n(d\mu) \\ &:= \min_{b_k} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |z^n + b_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1} z + b_n|^p d\mu(\theta), \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

If  $d\mu \in S$ , then [9, p. 376]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(d\mu) = G(d\mu). \tag{2.6}$$

Now we can state and prove the following crucial

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $d\mu$  be a measure supported in  $[-\pi, \pi]$  and  $d\mu \in S$ . Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . If  $\rho_n(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n$  has no zeros in  $|z| > 1$  and satisfies*

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\rho_n(e^{i\theta})|^p d\mu(\theta) \leq \text{const}, \tag{2.7}$$

then

$$\left| \frac{\rho_n(z)}{z^n} \right| \leq \text{const}, \quad |z| \geq R > 1. \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* Following the line of the proof of Theorem 12.1.1 in [9, p. 295] here we must consider the function

$$D(z) \psi_n^*(z)^{p/2} - 1 = [D(0) d_n^{-1/2} - 1] + d_{n1} z + d_{n2} z^2 + \dots \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\psi_n(z) = d_n^{-1/p} \rho_n(z), \quad \psi_n^*(z) = z^n \bar{\psi}_n(z^{-1}). \quad (2.10)$$

The remainder of the proof is an almost word for word repetition of the proof of Theorem 12.1.1 in [9, p. 295], so we omit the details. ■

As a consequence of Lemma 1 we state

LEMMA 2. *Let  $d\alpha$  be a measure supported in  $[-1, 1]$  and  $d\alpha \in S$ . Let  $0 < p < \infty$ . If*

$$\int_{-1}^1 |2^n \omega_n(X, x)|^p d\alpha(x) \leq \text{const}, \quad (2.11)$$

then

$$\left| \frac{2^n \omega_n(X, z)}{\phi(z)^n} \right| \leq \text{const}, \quad z \in \Omega, \quad (2.12)$$

whenever  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus I$  is compact.

*Proof.* By introducing  $Q_n(x) := 2^n \omega_n(X, x)$  and

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^{-1}) \quad (2.13)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{-k} b_{n-k} (z + z^{-1})^k \\ &= z^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} a_{2n-k} z^k := z^{-n} \rho_{2n}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

It is easy to check that

$$a_k = a_{2n-k}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad a_0 = a_{2n} = 1 \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$|Q_n(x)| = |\rho_{2n}(z)|, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \tag{2.16}$$

Meanwhile we see that  $\rho_{2n}(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| \neq 1$ .

Now define the associated measure  $d\mu(\theta)$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$  by

$$\mu(\theta) := \begin{cases} \alpha(\cos \theta) - \alpha(1), & \theta \in [-\pi, 0], \\ \alpha(1) - \alpha(\cos \theta), & \theta \in [0, \pi] \end{cases} \tag{2.17}$$

so that

$$d\mu(\theta) = |\sin \theta| \, d\alpha(\cos \theta) \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\rho_{2n}(e^{i\theta})|^p \, d\mu(\theta) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |Q_n(\cos \theta)|^p |\sin \theta| \, d\alpha(\cos \theta) \\ &= 2 \int_{-1}^1 |Q_n(x)|^p \, d\alpha(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Applying Lemma 1, this, together with (2.11), yields

$$\left| \frac{\rho_{2n}(z)}{z^{2n}} \right| \leq \text{const}, \quad |z| \geq R > 1.$$

By virtue of (2.14) we have

$$\left| \frac{Q_n(x)}{z^n} \right| \leq \text{const}, \quad |z| \geq R > 1,$$

from which (2.12) follows at once. ■

LEMMA 3. *Let  $d\alpha$  be a measure supported in  $[-1, 1]$  and let  $d\mu$  be defined by (2.17). Assume that  $0 < p < \infty$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} e_n &:= e_n(d\alpha) := e_n(d\alpha, p) \\ &:= \min_{b_k} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 |2^n x^n + b_1 x^{n-1} + \dots + b_{n-1} x + b_n|^p \, d\alpha(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Then

$$e_n(d\alpha) \geq d_{2n}(d\mu) \geq G(d\mu). \tag{2.21}$$

Meanwhile

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(d\alpha) > 0 \quad (2.22)$$

if and only if  $d\alpha \in S$ .

*Proof.* By definition we have  $d_n(d\mu) \geq d_{n+1}(d\mu)$ , which by (2.6) implies  $d_{2n}(d\mu) \geq G(d\mu)$ . Meanwhile (2.19) yields  $e_n(d\alpha) \geq d_{2n}(d\mu)$ .

Let us prove the last conclusion. If  $d\alpha \in S$  then (2.21) implies (2.22).

Conversely, let  $p \geq 1$  and let  $\phi_n(z) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n$  be a solution of (2.5). Since  $\mu(-\theta) = \mu(\theta)$ ,

$$\overline{\phi_n(z^{-1})} = \bar{\phi}_n(z) = z^n + \bar{a}_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_n$$

is also a solution of (2.5). Thus  $\psi_n(z) = \frac{1}{2} [\phi_n(z) + \bar{\phi}_n(z)]$  is a solution of (2.5) and has real coefficients.

Let  $z = e^{i\theta}$  and

$$\psi_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} z^k = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} [\cos k\theta + i \sin k\theta], \quad b_0 = 1.$$

If  $T_k(x)$  denotes the  $k$ th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind then

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(d\mu) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^1 |\psi_n(e^{i\theta})|^p d\mu(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} \cos k\theta \right|^p d\mu(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \left| \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-k} T_k(x) \right|^p d\alpha(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi 2^p} \int_{-1}^1 |2^n x^n + \dots|^p d\alpha(x) \geq 2^{-p} e_n(d\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $p \geq 1$ ,  $d\alpha \in S$  follows at once from (2.6), (2.22), and the above inequality. Here we have used the fact: under the assumption (2.17), (1.6) is equivalent to (2.2).

If  $p < 1$  then by Hölder's inequality we obtain  $e_n(d\alpha, p) \leq \text{const } e_n(d\alpha, 1)$ . This means  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e_n(d\alpha, 1) > 0$  and hence  $d\alpha \in S$ . ■

Developing and properly modifying the ideas in [1, 2] we can prove the following lemma, which is of independent interest.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $X$  be given in (1.1). Then Statement (c) is equivalent to Statement (d).*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\mathcal{A} \supset I$  be an open set. Choose a closed curve  $\Gamma$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  surrounding  $I$  so that  $\text{dist}(\Gamma, I) > 0$  and put  $\Omega = \Gamma$ . Then, for an arbitrary sequence  $\alpha(n)$  satisfying  $\alpha(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows from (1.9) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n) 2^n \omega_n(z)}{\phi(z)^n} = 0$$

holds uniformly on  $\Omega$ . Thus by differentiation we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(n) 2^n \omega_n(z)}{\phi(z)^n} \left\{ \frac{\omega'_n(z)}{\omega_n(z)} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} \right\} = 0$$

holds uniformly on  $\Omega$ . Since  $\alpha(n)$  is arbitrary, by (1.9) we have

$$R_n(z) := \frac{\omega'_n(z)}{\omega_n(z)} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} \tag{2.23}$$

satisfies  $|R_n(z)| \leq \text{const}$ ,  $z \in \Omega$ . From (2.23) we obtain that for every  $f$  analytic in  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \left\{ \frac{\omega'_n(z)}{\omega_n(z)} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} \right\} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) R_n(z) dz.$$

Applying the residue theorem one has

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) \frac{\omega'_n(z)}{\omega_n(z)} dz = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{kn}).$$

Meanwhile

$$\frac{n}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{\sqrt{z^2 - 1}} dz = \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} dx$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) R_n(z) dz \right| \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

Then (1.10) follows at once.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus I$  be an arbitrary compact set. Choose an open set  $\mathcal{A}$  so that  $\mathcal{A} \supset I$  and  $\text{dist}(\mathcal{A}, \Omega) > 0$ , which is possible, since  $\Omega$  is compact and  $\Omega \cap I = \emptyset$ . Then  $f(x) = \ln(z - x)$  with  $z \in \Omega$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For this function (1.10) gives

$$\left| \ln \omega_n(z) - \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\ln(z - x) dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \right| \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq c_0, \quad z \in \Omega, \tag{2.24}$$



Now we need the following relation

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\ln(z-x) dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} = \ln \left[ \frac{1}{2} \phi(z) \right], \quad z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus I, \quad (2.25)$$

which may be proved by the argument used in [3, Lemma 2.2]. It follows from (2.24) and (2.25) that

$$\left| \ln \frac{2^n \omega_n(X, z)}{\phi(z)^n} \right| \leq c_0, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

which gives (1.9) provided we put  $c_1 = e^{-c_0}$  and  $c_2 = e^{c_0}$ . ■

For an estimation of the lower bound of  $2^n \omega_n(z)/\phi(z)^n$  we have

LEMMA 5. *Let  $X$  be given in (1.1). Then*

$$\left| \frac{S_n(X, z)}{\phi(z)^n} \right| \geq c > 0, \quad z \in \Omega \quad (2.26)$$

holds for every compact set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus I$ . Meanwhile, if (1.7) holds, then

$$\left| \frac{2^n \omega_n(X, z)}{\phi(z)^n} \right| \geq c_1 > 0, \quad z \in \Omega \quad (2.27)$$

holds for every compact set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbf{C} \setminus I$ .

Here  $c$  and  $c_1$  are constants independent of  $n$ .

*Proof.* Using the Lagrange interpolation formula based on the nodes  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the  $(n-1)$ th Chebyshev polynomial on the first kind  $T_{n-1}(z)$ ,  $z \in \Omega$ , can be expressed as

$$T_{n-1}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{n-1}(x_k) l_k(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{n-1}(x_k) \frac{\omega_n(z)}{(z-x_k) \omega_n'(x_k)}.$$

Then

$$|T_{n-1}(z)| \leq |S_n(z)| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{|z-x_k|} \leq |S_n(z)| d(z), \quad (2.28)$$

where  $d(z) = 1/\text{dist}(z, I)$ . Taking logarithms on both sides in (2.28) yields

$$\ln |T_{n-1}(z)| \leq \ln |S_n(z)| + \ln d(z) \leq \ln |S_n(z)| + c_3,$$

or in another form,

$$\left| \frac{S_n(z)}{T_{n-1}(z)} \right| \geq c_4 > 0, \quad z \in \Omega.$$

From the formula [5, p. 239]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_n(z)}{\phi(z)^n} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus I, \quad (2.29)$$

(2.26) follows at once.

Meanwhile, by definition we have

$$S_n(X, z) = \gamma_n(X) \omega_n(X, z). \quad (2.30)$$

Thus (2.27) is an immediate consequence of (2.26) and (1.7). ■

LEMMA 6. *Statement (b) holds if and only if Statement (a) and Statement (c) hold.*

*Proof.* By (2.30) Statements (a) and (c) imply Statement (b).

Conversely, by the same arguments as in Lemma 4 it follows from (1.8) and (2.26) that Statement (d) holds. By Lemma 4 Statement (c) is true. Furthermore, by (2.30) Statements (b) and (c) yield Statement (a). ■

As the final step of preliminaries we state a basic lemma.

LEMMA 7 [7]. *Let  $d\alpha$  be an arbitrary measure supported in  $[-1, 1]$  and  $0 < p_0 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then for arbitrary system  $X$  of nodes*

$$\|S_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} \leq c(p_0) \|L_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.31)$$

### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

If (1.5) holds for every  $f \in C[-1, 1]$  then by the Banach theorem  $\|L_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} \leq \text{const}$ . So by (2.31)

$$\|S_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} \leq \text{const}, \quad (3.1)$$

or equivalently

$$\gamma_n(X) \|\omega_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} \leq \text{const}. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $d\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ , by (2.21)

$$2^n \|\omega_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} \geq [\pi G(d\mu)]^{1/p} > 0 \quad (3.3)$$

which by (3.2) implies Statement (a).

On the other hand, it is well known (cf. [7, (2.20)]) that for an arbitrary system  $X$  of nodes  $\gamma_n(X) \geq 2^{n-2}$  from which we conclude  $2^n \|\omega_n(X)\|_{d\alpha, p} \leq \text{const}$ . Then applying Lemmas 2 and 5 yields Statement (c).

Statement (b) follows from Statements (a) and (c) by Lemma 6. Meanwhile, Statement (d) follows from Statement (c) by Lemma 4.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the referee for many helpful suggestions and comments on improving the original manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. VAN ASSCHE, Some results on the asymptotic distribution of the zeros of orthogonal polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **12/13** (1985), 615–623.
2. W. VAN ASSCHE AND J. L. TEUGELS, Second order asymptotic behavior of the zeros of orthogonal polynomials, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **32** (1987), 15–26.
3. P. ERDŐS AND G. FREUD, On orthogonal polynomials with regularly distributed zeros, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **29** (1974), 521–537.
4. G. FREUD, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” Akadémiai Kiadó/Pergamon, Budapest, 1971.
5. D. S. LUBISKY, A survey of general orthogonal polynomials for weights on finite and infinite intervals, *Acta Appl. Math.* **10** (1987), 237–296.
6. G. MASTROIANNI AND P. VÉRTESI, Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation on arbitrary system of nodes, *Acta Sci Math. (Szeged)* **57** (1993), 429–441.
7. Y. G. SHI, Mean convergence of interpolatory processes on arbitrary system of nodes, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, to appear.
8. Y. G. SHI, Necessary conditions for Mean convergence of Lagrange interpolation on arbitrary system of nodes, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, to appear.
9. G. SZEGŐ, “Orthogonal Polynomials,” Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1939.
10. P. TURÁN, On some open problems of approximation theory, *J. Approx. Theory* **29** (1980), 23–85.